## ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY

## ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

## **DOCKET NO. 01-0432**

## REBUTTAL EXHIBITS SPONSORED BY JOHN P. BARUD

# **OCTOBER 10, 2001**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>EXHIBIT</u>	NO. TITLE	PAGE NO
2.13	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN P. BARUD	1-4
	I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
	II. BASIS FOR INCLUDING ENERGY DELIVERY PLANT ADDITIONS IN RATE BASE	1-3
	III. POST – DECEMBER 31, 2000 ADDITIONS TO ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION PLANT	3
	IV. POST – DECEMBER 31, 2000 ADDITIONS TO ENERGY DELIVERY GENERAL PLANT	3
	V. POST – DECEMBER 31, 2000 ADDITIONS TO ENERGY DELIVERY INTANGIBLE PLANT	3-4
2.14	DEVELOPMENT OF OVERALL RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT FOR ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION PLANT	1-3
2.15	DEVELOPMENT OF OVERALL RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT FOR ELECTRIC GENERAL PLANT	1-5
2.16	DEVELOPMENT OF OVERALL RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT FOR ELECTRIC INTANGIBLE PLANT	1-2

## ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

## **DOCKET NO. 01-0432**

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN P. BARUD

# **OCTOBER 10, 2001**

1			I. <u>Introduction and Purpose of Testimony</u>
2	1.	Q.	Please state your name, business address and present position.
3		A.	John P. Barud, 2460 North Jasper Street, Decatur, Illinois 62526. My present position
4			is Senior Director – Metro North Region.
5	2.	Q.	Have you previously submitted testimony and exhibits in this proceeding?
6		A.	Yes. I previously submitted exhibits identified as IP Exhibits 2.1 through 2.12 and
7			Corrected Revised IP Exhibits 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9 and 2.10.
8	3.	Q.	What additional evidence are you submitting at this time?
9		A.	I am submitting IP Exhibit 2.13 which is my rebuttal testimony, along with IP Exhibits
10			2.14 through 2.16, which were prepared by me or under my supervision.
11	4.	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
12		A.	I am responding to the direct testimony of Staff witness Everson and People of the State
13			of Illinois/Citizens Utility Board ("AG/CUB") witness Effron concerning Energy
14			Delivery-related electric distribution, general and intangible plant additions. In addition,
15			I will also be updating the level of actual and planned Energy Delivery electric
16			distribution, general and intangible plant additions.
17			II. Basis for Including Energy Delivery Plant Additions in Rate Base
18	5.	Q.	Have you reviewed the direct testimony of Staff witness Mary H. Everson?
19		A.	Yes, I have. Ms. Everson proposes to allow a pro forma adjustment for energy
20			delivery electric distribution, general and intangible plant additions for those plant
21			additions that have received funding approval by Company management under Illinois
22			Power's Asset Management process. Ms. Everson testifies that the projects for which
23			the Company has provided evidence of funding approval are known and capable of

24			being measured with reasonable certainty and satisfy the criteria set forth in section
25			DST.160 of the minimum information requirements approved by the Commission in
26			Docket No. 98-0454 ("known and measurable criteria").
27	6.	Q.	Do you agree with and accept Ms. Everson's proposal to allow a pro forma adjustmen
28			only for those Energy Delivery plant additions associated with projects that have
29			received management funding approval through the Asset Management process?
30		A.	Yes. Although Ms. Everson's approach will result in a lesser amount of plant additions
31			in rate base than under the Company's original proposal, I agree with Ms. Everson that
32			projects that have received funding approval satisfy the known and measurable criteria.
33			Therefore, I accept the Staff adjustment to reflect in the Company's rate base only
34			those pro forma Energy Delivery electric distribution, general and intangible plant
35			additions that have received management funding approval.
36	7.	Q.	Have you reviewed the direct testimony of AG/CUB witness David J. Effron regarding
37			post-test year additions to plant in service?
38		A.	Yes, I have. Mr. Effron proposes to limit the allowance for post-test year additions to
39			plant actually placed in service by no later than six months after the end of the test year,
40			or June 30, 2001. Using an arbitrary end date of June 30, 2001 does not result in the
41			appropriate identification of all plant additions that would satisfy the known and
42			measurable criteria. The approach proposed by Staff witness Everson, in contrast, is a
43			much more focused approach to identifying plant additions that are known and
44			measurable.
45	8.	Q.	Did the Company utilize any forecast in the development of its proposed pro forma
46			adjustment for post-December 31, 2000 Energy Delivery plant additions?
47		A.	No. Illinois Power utilized its Asset Management process of structured activities to
48			identify, design, cost, evaluate and fund projects required to maintain the safe and
49			reliable operation of the electric distribution system.
50	9.	O.	Should the Commission accept the plant addition adjustment proposed by Mr. Effron?

51		A.	No. The Commission should use the approach supported by Staff to determine the
52			Energy Delivery electric distribution, general and intangible plant projects that should be
53			included in rate base as a pro forma adjustment.
54			III. Post – December 31, 2000 Additions to Electric Distribution Plant
55	10.	Q.	Are you updating the level of actual and planned electric distribution plant additions for
56			funded projects, consistent with Staff witness Everson's criteria?
57		A.	Yes. IP Exhibit 2.14 identifies the level of actual distribution plant additions through
58			August 31, 2001. These actual additions are shown in the "Actual Additions as of
59			8/31/01" column. The level of "Actual Additions" for "Total Distribution" as shown on
60			page 3 of IP Exhibit 2.14 has increased from \$30,532,548 as of March 31, 2001 to
61			\$71,390,931 as of August 31, 2001. The amounts shown in the "Remaining Additions"
62			columns represent the balance of the planned additions associated with electric
63			distribution projects that have received funding approval as of September 25, 2001.
64			The "Total Additions" for "Total Distribution" as shown on page 3 of IP Exhibit 2.14
65			for projects with funding approval as of September 25, 2001 is \$79,029,074.
66			IV. Post - December 31, 2000 Additions to Energy Delivery General Plant
67	11.	Q.	Are you updating the level of actual and planned Energy Delivery general plant additions
68			for funded projects, consistent with Ms Everson's criteria?
69		A.	Yes. IP Exhibit 2.15 identifies the level of actual general plant additions through August
70			31, 2001. These actual additions are shown in the "Actual Additions as of 8/31/01"
71			column. The level of "Actual Additions" shown on IP Exhibit 2.15 has increased from
72			\$406,112 as of March 31, 2001 to \$1,903,429 as of August 31, 2001. The "Total
73			Additions" for "Electric General Plant" as shown on IP Exhibit 2.15 for projects with
74			funding approval as of September 25, 2001 is \$1,972,941.
75			V. Post – December 31, 2000 Additions to Energy Delivery Intangible Plant
76	12.	Q.	Are you updating the level of actual and planned Energy Delivery intangible plant
77			additions for funded projects, consistent with Ms. Everson's criteria?

A. Yes. IP Exhibit 2.16 identifies the level of actual intangible plant additions through 78 August 31, 2001. These actual additions are shown in the "Actual Additions as of 79 8/31/01" column. The level of "Actual Additions" shown on IP Exhibit 2.16 has 80 81 increased from \$352,805 as of March 31, 2001 to \$678,620 as of August 31, 2001. The "Total Additions" for "Electric Intangible Plant" as shown on IP Exhibit 2.16 for 82 projects with funding approval as of September 25, 2001 is \$1,303,082. 83 13. Q. Does this conclude your prepared rebuttal testimony? 84 A. Yes, it does. 85 86

IP Exhibit 2.14 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.6) Page 1 of 3

# ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY Development of Overall Rate Base Adjustment For Electric Distribution Plant

			New Business				Rebuild Due to Condition					
Line	FERC	A	Actual Additions as		temaining	Total		al Additions as		· ·		Total
No.	Account		of 8/31/01	A	Additions	 Additions		of 8/31/01		Additions	Additions	
1	360											
2	361	\$	(6,437)	\$	-	\$ (6,437)	\$	55	\$	-	\$	55
3	362		42,672			42,672		942				942
4	363											
5	364		4,623,554		414,393	5,037,947		6,432,931		2,244,916		8,677,847
6	365		4,576,540		414,393	4,990,933		6,403,946		2,243,780		8,647,726
7	366		2,723,930		2,814,365	5,538,295		657,794		180,876		838,670
8	367		4,085,895		4,221,546	8,307,441		986,690		271,315		1,258,005
9	368		8,435,661		383,678	8,819,339		110				110
10	369											
11	370							1,948,980				1,948,980
12	371											
13	372											
14	373	_	172,387		0	 172,387		106,277		4,165		110,442
15	Total	\$	24,654,202	\$	8,248,375	\$ 32,902,577	\$	16,537,725	\$	4,945,052	\$	21,482,777

IP Exhibt 2.14 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.6) Page 2 of 3

# ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY Development of Overall Rate Base Adjustment For Electric Distribution Plant

			Rebuild			ity		Substation Equipment					
Line	FERC	Ac	ctual Additions as	]	Remaining		Total	Actual Additions as		Remaining			Total
No.	Account		of 8/31/01		Additions		Additions		of 8/31/01		Additions		Additions
1	360												
2	361	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	836,807	\$	71,817	\$	908,624
3	362								15,858,365		1,114,564		16,972,929
4	363												
5	364		4,132,471		1,823,386		5,955,857		101,945		19,923		121,868
6	365		4,132,471		1,823,386		5,955,857		101,945		19,923		121,868
7	366												
8	367												
9	368								40,971		249,956		290,927
10	369												
11	370												
12	371												
13	372												
14	373												
15	Total	\$	8,264,942	\$	3,646,772	\$	11,911,714	\$	16,940,033	\$	1,476,183	\$	18,416,216

IP Exhibit 2.14 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.6) Page 3 of 3

# ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY Development of Overall Rate Base Adjustment For Electric Distribution Plant

			Relocate					Total Distribution					
Line	FERC		l Additions as		emaining		Total		al Additions as		Remaining		Total
No.	Account	O	f 8/31/01		Additions		Additions		of 8/31/01	Additions		Additions	
1	360												
2	361	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	830,425	\$	71,817	\$	902,242
3	362								15,901,979		1,114,564		17,016,543
4	363												
5	364		2,527,968		655,425		3,183,393		17,818,869		5,158,043		22,976,912
6	365		2,527,968		655,425		3,183,393		17,742,870		5,156,907		22,899,777
7	366		-24,763		146,485		121,722		3,356,961		3,141,726		6,498,687
8	367		-37,144		219,728		182,584		5,035,441		4,712,589		9,748,030
9	368								8,476,742		633,634		9,110,376
10	369												
11	370												
12	371												
13	372												
14	373					-			278,664		4,165		282,829
15	Total	\$	4,994,029	\$	1,677,063	\$	6,671,092	\$	71,390,931	\$	19,993,445	\$	91,384,376
16	Retirements relat	ed to the above a	dditions (see Re	vised IP	Exhibit 1.9)								(12,355,302)
17	Additions net of	retirements										\$	79,029,074

IP Exhibit 2.15 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.9) Page 1 of 5

#### ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY

#### Development of Overall Rate Base Adjustment For Electric General Plant

Electric General Plant 1) **FERC Actual Additions** Total Remaining Line No. as of 8/31/01 Additions Additions Account 1 389 \$ \$ \$ 2 390 136,019 103,404 239,423 3 391 277,283 277,283 392 1,013,027 1,013,027 5 393 6 394 7 395 8 396 9 397 477,100 36,108 513,208 1,903,429 139,512 10 Total 2,042,941 11 Retirements related to the above additions (see IP Exhibit 1.36) (70,000)1,972,941 12 Additions net of retirements

<sup>1)</sup> The amounts shown on this exhibit are the portions of the total project costs allocated to electric distribution.

IP Exhibit 2.15 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.9) Page 2 of 5

#### ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY

#### General Plant

#### Projects Scheduled for Completion During the Period January 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

Line <u>No.</u>	Name of Project	Month/Year Placed In Service	Total Plant Addition <u>Amount</u>	Jurisdictional Electric Distribution Allocation Percentage 1)	Amount Allocated to Electric Distribution
1	Fleet - Electric Distribution	various	\$ 1,749,615	57.9%	\$ 1,013,027
2	Replace BellSouth Alarm Transmitter with MOSCAD	Dec-01	188,865	57.9%	109,353
3	Smartzone Upgrade of Mobile and Handheld Radios	Oct-01	389,810	57.9%	225,700
4	Replace Mobile Data Terminals	Jun-02	352,643	57.9%	204,180
5	Replace Service Area Building Roof - Hillsboro	August-01	300,686	57.9%	174,097
6	Galesburg Telecommunication Equipment	July-01	307,694	57.9%	178,155
7	Galesburg Office Equipment	July-01	126,258	57.9%	73,103
8	Small General Plant Projects	various	112,826	57.9%	65,325
9	Total General Plant Projects		\$ 3,528,397		\$ 2,042,941

<sup>1)</sup> Based on labor allocation factors presented by IP witness Carter, see IP Exhibit 1.4

IP Exhibit 2.15 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.9) Page 3 of 5

#### General Plant

## Projects Scheduled for Completion During the Period January 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

#### Fleet – Electric Distribution

1

- The electric vehicle fleet purchase and replacement project involves the purchase and
- 3 replacement of vehicles and associated equipment utilized in the provision of electric service to
- 4 customers. Examples of the types of equipment included in the project are automobiles, light
- 5 duty trucks, medium duty trucks such as aerial devices, material handlers, digger derricks, digging
- 6 equipment, trenchers, trailers and other service-related equipment. Illinois Power is continuously
- 7 purchasing and replacing vehicles and equipment used to provide electric service. By replacing vehicles
- on a set schedule related to either miles or age of vehicle, the Company obtains benefits from more
- 9 modern vehicle technology, improved productivity and fuel economies, and minimized down-time. All
- 10 fleet replacements included in this project will either be purchased or ordered during 2001.

### 11 Replace BellSouth Alarm Transmitter with MOSCAD

- The replacement effort involves the purchase of Motorola Moscad Remote Terminal Units
- 13 ("RTU"). These RTUs will be installed at all radio tower sites to monitor various conditions at each site
- including: 1) any loss of normal AC power, 2) backup generator in operation or 3) sudden increased
- temperature inside any of the radio buildings. The RTUs will transmit information back to the
- 16 Company's North Decatur facility (through SCADA) and then to Central Dispatch for use in
- 17 responding to and resolving any problems encountered prior to any loss of voice radio communications.

## Smartzone Upgrade of Mobile and Handheld Radios

The upgrade effort involves the purchase of Smartzone radios to replace existing Spectra C9 radios for areas that have undergone conversion to Smartzone. The Company has completed the system upgrade of all radio tower sites to Motorola Smartzone Trunk Radio technology. Benefits associated with this upgrade included improved radio communications through overlapping of coverage areas. The Company's existing non-Smartzone radios maintained basic functionality but did not possess the roaming capabilities associated with a Smartzone radio. All portable radios and one-third of the mobile radios have already been replaced. This project will replace the remaining mobile radios with new Smartzone radios.

### Replace Mobile Data Terminals

In 1995, ruggedized laptop computers, referred to as Mobile Data Terminals ("MDTs"), were installed in the Company's line trucks for the purposes of electronically dispatching orders and electronic viewing of electric and gas facility maps. The expected lifespan of the initial MDT hardware has been exceeded with the maintenance agreement for this equipment expiring in September 2001. The current operating system for the MDTs is now no longer supported by its software vendor. In addition, new functionality and features have been added to the electronic mapping software requiring larger "color capable" screens which the current MDTs do not have. This effort involves the replacement of all existing MDTs with newer models. The new laptops will have the latest operating system that should be supported during the planned lifespan of the new hardware.

### Replace Service Area Building Roof - Hillsboro

High maintenance costs, the need for annual inspections, and continual leaks now require the replacement of the existing roof and deteriorated roof deck. The existing roof consists of the original roof plus a second layer installed in 1985.

### 41 Galesburg Telecommunication and Networking Equipment

This project involves the installation of new telecommunication and networking systems at the new Galesburg service unit building.

## Galesburg Office Equipment

37

44

47

48

49

50

This project involves the purchase of new office equipment for the Galesburg service unit building due to the consolidation of the Galesburg and Kewanee service areas.

## Other Replacement and Restoration Projects of General Plant Facilities

These projects involve the renovation and/or upgrade of Company facilities and equipment used by area personnel in ongoing operational activities. Projects range from improvement in drainage, to installation of security equipment, to utilization of insulating material to promote energy efficiency.

IP Exhibit 2.16 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.10) Page 1 of 2

### ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY

## Development of Overall Rate Base Adjustment For Electric Intangible Plant

			Electric Intangible Plant 1)								
Line	Line FERC		l Additions	R	emaining	Total					
No.	Account	as o	as of 8/31/01		Additions		Additions				
1	303	\$	678,620		624,462	_\$_	1,303,082				
2	Total	\$	678,620	\$	624,462	\$	1,303,082				

<sup>1)</sup> The amounts shown on this exhibit are the portions of the total project costs allocated to electric distribution.

IP Exhibit 2.16 (Rebuttal version of Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.10) Page 2 of 2

#### ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY

#### Intangible Plant

#### Projects Scheduled for Completion During the Period January 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 1)

				Electric	
				Distribution	Amount
		Year	Total	Jurisdictional	Allocated to
Line	Name of	Placed	Plant	Allocation	Electric
No.	<u>Project</u>	In Service	Addition	Percentage 2)	Distribution
1	Reliability Centered Maintenance	February-02	\$ 895,406	57.9%	\$ 518,440
2	Resource Management Transition Enhancements	March-02	804,159	57.9%	465,608
3	Small Intangible Plant Projects	various	551,009	57.9%	319,034
4	Total Intangible Plant Projects		\$ 2,250,574		\$ 1,303,082

<sup>1)</sup> The project descriptions are shown on Corrected Revised IP Exhibit 2.10

<sup>2)</sup> Based on labor allocation factors presented by IP witness Carter, see IP Exhibit 1.4